

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/345,621

Applicant(s)
Kimener, Tom

Examiner
Hugh B. Thompson

Group Art Unit
3634



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Hugh B. Thompson (PTO)

(3) _____

(2) Mr. David Mancino

(4) _____

Date of Interview Nov 29, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 3, 8, and 12

Identification of prior art discussed:

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:
Claims 3, 8, and 12, will be amended to reflect proper claim dependency from claim 1.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☒ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/345,621

Applicant(s)
Kimener, Tom

Examiner
Hugh B. Thompson

Group Art Unit
3634



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Hugh B. Thompson(PTO)

(3) Mr. David Mancino

(2) Daniel Stodola(PTO)

(4) _____

Date of Interview Oct 17, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 18, and 20

Identification of prior art discussed:

Harris-264, Desrosiers-701

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant was informed that proposed amendments to claims 1, 18, and 20 may distinguish the instant invention from the prior art of record, specifically, the recitations of a cross bar connected to gate segments and free to reciprocate with respect to guide rails in a path that is somewhat horizontal.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.